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Abstract
Bedbugs, previously thought to be eradicated during the mid-20th century, have reemerged in the new millennium with unforeseen furor. Affected patients and families frequently present in primary care settings, not only with complaints of pruritus or rash, but with anxiety and shame regarding the condition. Changes in eradication techniques and resistance, as well as lack of reporting – from both patients and lodging institutions – have hindered control. The increased mobility of goods and the human population has provided further avenues for bedbug spread. While current literature thoroughly describes the clinical presentation of bedbugs and the increasingly problematic effects on society, less discussed is the etiology of this comeback. This narrative review briefly describes the presentation and treatment of bedbug infestations, while focusing on the numerous and complex factors contributing to their reemergence.

(J Patient-Centered Res Rev. 2014;1:93-98.)
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Introduction
While recent headlines have lightly played on the resurgence of bedbugs – “Bedbugs: not back by popular demand,” “This really sucks,” “Don’t let the bedbugs bite,” – there is no doubt that it is a subject heavy on the minds of the general public. From the years 2001 to 2006, the number of newspaper articles in the United States covering bedbugs more than quadrupled. This is not simply a media phenomenon; the pest management industry has struggled to both manage the increase in complaints, as well as the increasing time needed to eradicate infestations (Figure 1). Bedbugs have proved themselves to be a multidimensional pest, sparking both physical and mental irritation in many developing and developed countries. As public health officials struggle to temper the growth of these pests, the explanation for their booming resurgence from a period of relative rest and eradication starting in the 1950s remains unclear.

The purpose of this article is to review the current theories and potential factors involved in the worldwide resurgence of bedbug infestations. The emphasis of this article will be on the social and environmental factors, pesticide and control options, and the anatomic human and insect responses that may be contributing to the return of a pest thought to be eradicated half a century ago. Understanding the multifactorial etiology of this resurgence will allow for a comprehensive strategy that is emerging as an urgent necessity. Particularly, with this information, health care professionals can tailor management in the clinical setting.

Epidemiology and Agent Features
Two main species of bedbugs, from the family Cimicidae, have powered its resurgence: Cimex lectularius (of temperate origins) and Cimex heipterus (of tropical origins). These flightless arthropods are ovoid and flat in shape, approximately 5 mm long. Their blood predation is fostered by a long proboscis for sucking and saliva with vasodilatation, anticoagulation and anesthetic properties.

They are attracted to human hosts at bedtime due both to warmth (thrusting in temperatures that range from 7 to 45
degrees Celcius) and the presence of carbon dioxide. They feed for 4 to 10 minutes, and can survive a year without eating. When not feeding, they nest well hidden in places with minimal light, including behind wall hangings, in mattresses and on furniture.

**Clinical Presentation**

Bedbugs can cause a variety of cutaneous reactions: macules, papules, bullae, nodules and vesicles, but are classically described as weals in clusters. These dermatologic fingerprints, however, can often be delayed several days or not be present at all. Initially, patients may complain of pruritus, which can cause human prey to relieve themselves with excoriations, which can lead to secondary infection. Previous sentiment had suggested that “breakfast, lunch, dinner” lesions (linear lesions of three) were pathognomonic for bedbugs, however, this is not necessarily the case as bedbugs do not always bite in clusters. They are attracted to areas that are exposed while sleeping, including the face, neck and extremities, but they have also been observed to feed at where clothes are held tightly against the skin (including the waistband).

Diagnosis goes beyond just the appearance of bites, as consequent skin lesions are similar to a broad differential (including, but not limited to, other insect bites such as scabies, ticks and lice; food allergies; hives; drug reaction; and chicken pox). Additionally, the bites can often be absent of inflammatory reaction, with some victims never mounting a dermatologic response besides evidence of punctate. Looking for environmental evidence can reveal the bedbugs’ presence. Bedbugs often leave a trail of blood drops on sheets. They also leave a distinctive musty sweet odor, detectable by trained dogs.

**Treatment and Prevention**

The cutaneous reactions from bedbugs are self-limited, generally resolving within 1-2 weeks without intervention. Symptomatic treatment of pruritus or erythema includes topical antipruritic preparations containing doxepin, histamines or intermediate potency corticosteroids. Secondary infections, particularly dermatologic, are treated with topical or systemic antibiotics. Interestingly, bedbugs have been shown to be carriers of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV) without evidence of human transmission.

Public health initiatives, including that of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Environmental Protection Agency, have recommended the adoption of Integrated Pest Management, involving increasing education through collaborative efforts of the government, property managers and pest management professionals in addition to common sense practices of citizens (hygienic practices) and appropriate pest management options (pesticides, monitoring devices/traps, physical removal/vacuum, disposal). Common pesticides, each with variable methods of distribution, include those with pyrethroid (deltamethrin and gamma-cyhalothrin) elements, silicicates, neonicatinoinds, arylypyrroles, insect growth regulators and, in some parts of the world, carbamates and organophosphates. Monitors and traps can include dogs sensitive to the distinctive odor as well as chemical lures and extreme temperature exposure.

**Methods**

A literature search was generated from the Medline database with OvidSp, using the search term “Bedbugs,” limited to articles from all years and in the English language. Additionally, the references cited in these reports were reviewed to identify other sources pertinent to bedbug studies. Articles were selected for this narrative review to provide fair representation of the various current theories regarding the resurgence of bedbugs, with priority given to those articles that were recent or novel. Nonscientific articles were briefly discussed only if deemed likely to lead to scientific investigation or to persist in lay literature.

**Results and Discussion**

The mechanisms of the recent bedbug resurgence are multifactorial and interrelated (Figure 2). We have broadly categorized the proposed etiologies of the bedbug resurgence (Table 1). While there are many barriers to control bedbugs, numerous proposed interventions exist (Table 2).
### Table 1. Proposed etiologies of bedbug resurgence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bedbugs never left.</td>
<td>Bedbugs have increased in number.</td>
<td>Bedbugs are surviving longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of/deferred surveillance</td>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>Inadequate eradication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdiagnosis</td>
<td>Recycled/reused goods</td>
<td>Environmental (predators, climate) changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigma</td>
<td>Urbanization</td>
<td>Genetic mutations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Interventional approaches to managing bedbug resurgence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier to control</th>
<th>Intervention (example of currently practiced action)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Lack of/deferred surveillance | • Centralized reporting  
                         |  www.bedbugregistry.com is a free, public database of user-submitted bedbug reports in the United States and Canada.14  |
|                      | • Mandatory reporting and surveillance, similar to that for communicable diseases  
                         |  Twenty-two states have statutes addressing bedbugs, with most compelling institutions to address bedbug prevention and eradication but not necessarily requiring report to public health departments. Texas, Kansas and Arizona have mandates of report.15  |
|                      | • Public education to improve recognition and promote reporting  
                         |  The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and individual public health departments publish information regarding bedbug recognition and eradication for communities.16,17  |
| Eradication resistance | • Continuing research into and practicing of successful mechanisms of eradication  
                         |  The Environment Protection Agency (EPA) and national pest management industry held independent summits highlighting advances in and barriers to eradication.18,19  |
|                      | • Adoption of effective novel or existing treatments  
                         |  The EPA recommends integrated pest management as an effective and environmentally sensitive approach to bedbug control with a four-tiered approach of setting action thresholds, identifying pests, prevention, and control.20  |
| Increased mobility     | • Increased awareness of modes of transmission  
                         |  Various public health initiatives in public education have addressed modes of transmission to help communities prevent spread.16,17  |

### Treatment and Prevention

Ineffectiveness of current pesticides and monitoring practices: Public health organizations have acknowledged that there is limited public awareness, both in the private and government sectors, to the degree of infestation as well as the symptoms, treatment and prevention of infestations.21 A bedbug infestation, despite its communicable nature, is not a reportable disease. Thus, not only is it difficult to prevent its spread, but tracking and validating it can be elusive.4,22 It could be suggested that this lack of reporting may have contributed to the previous perceptions of complete bedbug eradication.

Further delaying eradication are the limited resources of public health departments. Bedbugs are frequently not seen as a high priority.13 While the responsibility for addressing infestations does not fall solely on public health departments, it is unclear who is ultimately responsible. Municipal codes do not dictate whether tenants or landlords are liable for the costs of management.13

While there are a variety of methods to meeting the challenge of infestations, many are costly in both time and money.7 Eradication can be cost-prohibitive to affected consumers, with a one-bedroom treatment costing between $800 and $1,200.23,24 Given that many pesticides require repeat or prolonged exposure, some households may shorten the course due to either expense or improper education about duration of treatment.6 One could speculate that those unfamiliar with the necessary principles of effective bedbug treatment may have these misconceptions and allow infestations to wax and wane, without early or complete eradication.

Additionally, some makers of pesticide products have designed products, which focus on convenience as opposed to efficacy. Multiple bug bombs, or foggers, which disperse aerosolized pesticide, without full fumigation, have been shown to be ineffective in penetrating the hidden caverns of bedbugs.7,25 In fact, sublethal levels of exposure may actually inspire the insects to more activity.26 Fumigation, aerosolized and dust formulations generally remain effective options, and are often more effective than liquid forms when used with appropriate pesticide.7

The demand for pest management has multiplied in recent years. However, the number of pest management workers has not kept pace with the growth of bedbug infestations. Given that the mode of detection is dependent on visual inspection, it can be speculated that efficacy is operator-dependent. Particularly, canines have been quite effective in detection with up to 98% accuracy.27 However, in 2009, only 100 trained dogs existed...
in the United States and this method of detection, like most other forms of bedbug control, is quite costly.\textsuperscript{2,28,29} Canine surveillance is simply for detection; chemical or mechanical eradication techniques are still necessary.

Biological pest control is not a viable option, as the main predator of bedbugs, \textit{Reduvius personatus} – commonly known as the masked hunter – can cause very painful bites, comparable to bee stings. Other predators of the bedbug include arthropods of the following families: \textit{Blattaria} (cockroaches) and \textit{Formicidae} (ants). Other predatory insects are of the orders/classes: \textit{Hemoptera} (other true bugs like bedbugs), \textit{Araneaea} (spiders, particularly \textit{Thanatus flavidus}), and \textit{Pseudoscorponida} (pseudoscorpions).\textsuperscript{30,31} However, many of these potential predators, particularly cockroaches and ants, are associated with similarly intolerable effects and risks.\textsuperscript{32,33} Additionally, none of these have been used to successfully control bedbug infestations.\textsuperscript{30}

**Ban of effective pesticides, predator changes:** As previously discussed, the natural enemies of bedbugs are masked hunter bugs, cockroaches, ants, pseudoscorpions and spiders. Many insects during the period of extensive bedbug eradication (the first half of the 20th century) were also susceptible to the extensive and effective use of the pesticide dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT).\textsuperscript{34,35} Initially this chemical was used to prevent vector transmission of illness, but use then shifted to promote crop production. Widespread application was used prior to the 1972 American ban of DDT, which was due to environmental and human endangerment.\textsuperscript{10} While some insects developed resistance, many experienced varying degrees of eradication, including those predators of bedbugs.\textsuperscript{35}

One could speculate that this potential natural predator population depression may have been maintained or accentuated with the increased use and development of other pesticides, particularly common household insecticides. Total expenditures on all forms of pesticides, herbicides and fungicides, used in both the agricultural and commercial sectors, in the United States had increased by \textit{70\%} from 1988 to 2007.\textsuperscript{36} In 2007 alone, 78 million homes were using any form of pesticide. This figure does not delineate what portion of this practice accounts for the likely increased purchase of pesticides targeting bedbugs.

**Misdiagnosis by medical providers:** Bedbug effects on the human integument vary widely. Given the broad differential, diagnosis and treatment are often subject to trial and error. Recurrence of alleviated or resolved symptoms may be presumed to be manifestations of chronic conditions, such as eczema or allergies.\textsuperscript{12} Symptomatic relief may be sufficiently acceptable for both patients and their caretakers, particularly if visualization of the agent is not noted. Reliance on symptomatic relief only may lead to continued presence and proliferation of bedbugs in homes where a thorough pesticide treatment has yet to occur.

**Genetic**

**Multistrain mutation vs. single-source superbug:** As previously mentioned, it is clear that current bedbugs express variable susceptibility to available and commonly used pesticides, particularly pyrethroids.\textsuperscript{34} While it was theorized that a “super bed bug” had emerged from mutation and was proliferating, leading to widespread resistance, it is more likely that there are multiple groups of resistant populations. High genetic diversity has been demonstrated across all infestations in a selection of representative Eastern U.S. populations.\textsuperscript{37} However, within contained infestations, low genetic diversity is observed. From this, it has been proposed that multiple introductions of propagules are responsible for the diversity of alleles responsible for resistance.

Multiple means of resistance to pesticides have been noted in single population studies of bedbugs. It is suspected that the general mechanism of resistance involves increased metabolism and detoxification of pesticides.\textsuperscript{38} Several cytochrome P450 and carboxylesterase, enzymes of metabolism and genes, in addition to point mutations in subunits of voltage-gated sodium channels, have been proposed to give bedbugs their penchant for survival despite chemical eradication attempts.\textsuperscript{6,34,39}

**Social**

**Travel:** Increased mobility has been an achievement of modern society, with ready accessibility to vehicles and flight for both intercontinental business and pleasure purposes. As previously discussed, it is likely that pesticide resistance was obtained from bedbugs previously limited to non-American landscapes, which were then transported to the United States.\textsuperscript{6} However, it is also likely that increased bedbug dispersion has also resulted from domestic migration, including city-to-city and home-to-home travel.\textsuperscript{40} This is not just from hitchhiking bugs on humans and their belongings, but humans visiting high-traffic areas (i.e. hotels, airports) where bugs permanently nest.\textsuperscript{7,40,41} Demonstrating this, news report trends from 2001 to 2008 revealed bedbug articles that follow the proliferation, which initially began in East Coast hubs of travel, particularly New York City, with gradual increases in articles on the South and West regions.\textsuperscript{4}
**Environmental**

**Climate cycles:** Average surface temperatures in the contiguous United States have increased by an average of 1.3 degrees Celsius over the last 100 years. This warmer environment may be more suitable for bedbugs, which thrive in temperatures between 27 and 32 degrees Celsius. A suspected factor in global warming – the increased production of carbon dioxide from industrial sources – is also an attractant of bedbugs. With growing global industrialization, some lay media sites theorize that bedbugs are finding more and more desirable habitats and the human prey they seek.

**Urbanization:** Global industrialization has led to a proliferation of urbanized areas. Not only are these high-traffic areas, but potential habitats for bedbugs lie in close proximity (i.e., apartment buildings). As previously mentioned, bedbugs can travel via routes provided by human belongings, but the proximity allowed by urbanization also affords them ability to easily travel without assistance.

**Past underreporting and stigmatization:** Another possibility that has been raised is that the reported eradication of bedbugs in midcentury industrialized nations did not actually occur to the extent presumed. Rather, underreporting and demagnification of this occurrence was adopted for various reasons. The stigma of bedbugs on patients is well documented, leading to anxiety and shame, and hesitation to report. One might imagine that patients would be hesitant to admit to a condition linked to poor socioeconomic status and hygiene, not only to their caretakers, but also those in their social circles. In this manner, social stigma may likely contribute to undertreatment.

Additionally, with the dynamic historical changes of society, media reporting has naturally swayed to sensational topics. For example, news outlets in New York City initially reported informative blurbs in regard to bedbugs during the years preceding the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks. As recovery progressed in later years, news reporting of bedbugs trended more to reports of bedbug infestation incidents. Similarly, the public health and medical industry has tended to focus on novel or health conditions with more serious consequences, such as pregnancy or infectious disease. It can be speculated that this may have limited the attention committed to bedbugs.
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