HeartQoL Validation in Patients with Heart Failure Due to Ischemic Heart Disease

Sara Walczak, BS¹; Susan Olet, PhD¹; Christina Sauld, NP²; Deborah Waller, RN, CCRC¹; Nasir Sulemanjee, MD^{2, 3}

¹Aurora Research Institute, Milwaukee, WI; ²Aurora Health Care, Milwaukee, WI, Aurora Cardiovascular Services, ³Aurora Sinai / St. Luke's Medical Centers; University Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health, Milwaukee, WI

BACKGROUND

Patient—reported outcome measures are increasingly used in clinical trials to evaluate quality of care. Health-related quality of life is an example of a patient-reported outcome measure. The English version of the heart disease-specific HeartQoL, with a physical and an emotional domain and a global score, health-related quality of life questionnaire has previously been validated in patients with heart disease.

OBJECTIVE /PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to validate the English version of the HeartQoL in patients with heart failure due to ischemic heart disease.

METHODS

Patients with heart failure due to ischemic heart disease meeting clinical eligibility criteria and being treated at Aurora St. Luke's Medical Center completed a sociodemographic questionnaire, the HeartQoL, the Short-Form 36 Health Survey, and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS).

The following key psychometric properties of the HeartQoL were assessed:

- Discriminative validity
- Internal consistency reliability
- Convergent validity
- Factor structure
- Model structure

Tests performed: Two sample t test, Analysis of variance (ANOVA), Factor analysis and Mokken scale analysis

Analysis was done using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and R package (mokken v2.8.10)

RESULTS

- A total of 83 patients with ischemic heart failure completed the questionnaires
- Baseline characteristics: (mean age, 65.8 ± 11.4 years; 30.1% female; New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class II= 44.6%, III= 47.0%, IV= 8.4%), 63.9% SOB, 22.9% anxiety, 24.1% depression, and SF-36 Health status: improved 27.7%, no change 32.5%, and deteriorated 39.8%.

Table 1: Discriminative Validity					
Difference in Heart QoL domain score [p-value]					
	Global	Physical	Emotional		
NYHA Class: II / III / IV	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		
SOB: Yes/No	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
Anxiety: Yes / No	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
Depression; Yes / No	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
SF-36 Health status:					
Improve / Same / Worse	0.02	0.03	0.05		

Table 2: Internal Consistency Reliability					
	Heart QoL domain				
	Global	Physical	Emotional		
Cronbach's Alpha (α)	0.92	0.91	0.89		
$\alpha \ge 0.90 = \text{excellent}; 0.8$	-0.89 = good	[b			

- Exploratory factor and Mokken Scale analysis both supported the bi-dimensional physical and emotional factor structure of HeartQoL.
- Internal consistency reliability with Cronbach's α was excellent (global α = 0.92, physical α = 0.91) or good (emotional α = 0.89).
- Both convergent validity between similar HeartQoL and SF-36 subscales(r ≥0.75) and discriminative validity with all 18 a priori hypotheses (NYHA, shortness of breath, HADS anxiety and depression, physical activity, and SF-36 health transition) were confirmed.

Table 3: Convergent Validity [r]				
	Heart QoL domain			
	Physical	Emotional		
SF-36				
Physical	0.79	0.67		
Emotional	0.51	0.75		
[confirmed with r ≥ 0.70]		•		

Table 4: Model Structure					
	HeartQoL domain				
	Physical	Emotional			
Exploratory Factor Analysis					
Loadings on 14 items	0.39 to 0.80	0.72 to 0.80			
[>0.50 = strong]					
Mokken Scale Analysis					
H-value on 14 items	0 13 to 0 63	0.47 to 0.53			
[>0.50 = strong]	0.43 to 0.03	U.41 IU U.JJ			
[/0.30 - Silving]					

CONCLUSIONS

The English HeartQoL questionnaire demonstrated satisfactory key psychometric properties of validity and reliability in this heart failure population.

This study adds support for the HeartQoL as a core heart-specific health-related quality of life questionnaire in a broad group of patients with heart disease.