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Abstract

Obesity is a commonly encountered problem in the primary care setting. Simultaneously, sleep is seen to hold an increasingly important role in many components of health and wellness. A review of the literature was performed to determine if improving sleep positively impacts weight loss in obese adults. The evidence reviewed suggests that improving patients’ sleep may initially improve patient weight loss; however, current studies do not show a sustained statistically significant impact. Until higher powered and higher quality studies are completed, there are no clear evidence-based guidelines for primary care physicians to follow regarding sleep and obesity. (J Patient-Centered Res Rev. 2015;2:197-200.)
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Clinical Question

In obese adults, does improving sleep improve weight loss?

Answer

Inconclusive. The evidence reviewed supports that improving sleep initially improves weight loss; however, in the setting of limited sample sizes, specialized recruitment and limited follow-up, statistically significant weight loss was not shown.

Date answer was determined: June 2015.

Level of evidence: B.

Inclusion criteria: Clinical trials searchable in PubMed from the last 10 years (June 2005–June 2015).

Exclusion criteria: Incomplete/ongoing studies; no matched comparison or control group described; proposed intervention could not be performed by a primary care provider in an outpatient clinic; patient population was not recruited from the general population; patients were not overweight or obese at study start; outcomes were not reported in body mass index (BMI) change or weight loss; a validated sleep assessment tool was not used.

Summary of the Problem

Obesity affects more than 30% of adults in the United States and has remained at this level for over a decade. Additionally, obesity has far-reaching health effects, including decreased quality of life and increased risk for comorbid disease. Primary care physicians (PCPs) are challenged with treating obese patients with the tools now available. Empowering PCPs with evidence-based strategies to address obesity in the primary care setting is essential to prevent further increases in obesity and to treat existing disease.

Treatment of disordered sleep is emerging as a low-risk intervention targeting multiple important health problems, including obesity. Evidence shows that changes in caloric intake, brain activation and biochemical processing occur with less sleep. Collectively these studies suggest that sleep may have an integral role in the development and treatment of obesity. Sleep has increasingly gained importance in integrative medicine and has been placed on par with topics such as nutrition, exercise and stress as pillars of wellness. Counseling and supporting patients in good sleep hygiene is easily done in a primary care setting.
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through short motivational interviewing sessions — the foundational tool of the PCP. Motivational interviewing lends itself to collaboration with patients for patient-centered, holistic care. Additionally, integrative methods such as mindfulness sleep induction, progressive muscle relaxation, guided imagery and over-the-counter supplements (e.g. melatonin) have been suggested to improve sleep and can be taught to patients in a primary care setting.

Summary of the Evidence

Of the initial 67 clinical trials identified by our search strategy, two studies satisfied the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Both suggested a relationship between sleep and weight loss without ultimately showing significance (Table 1). Each of these two studies recruited participants from the general population, used the self-reported Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) to assess sleep, measured percent weight loss for participants, and had short follow-up periods (24 months or less). Neither study separated individuals who were overweight (BMI 25–30) from those who were obese (BMI ≥ 30) or used formal sleep study evaluation.

In the first study, Logue et al. recruited patients from a family medicine clinic if they had BMI of 25.0–39.9 kg/m², were 18–84 years old, were not pregnant or two months postpartum and had no known history of: vascular and endocrine comorbidities, bariatric surgery, use of weight loss medications, sleep disorders/ongoing sleep disruption (i.e. shift work) or psychiatric comorbidities. The PSQI and Sleep Timing Questionnaire were used. A total of 46 patients were randomized to either the Better Weight (BW) intervention, which included diet, exercise and development of coping skills, or to the Better Weight/Better Sleep (BWBS) intervention, which provided the same intervention as the BW group with additional sleep counseling. Patient sleep was assessed three times over 12 weeks. Intention-to-treat analysis was performed. Dropout rates were similar in both groups, with 23 patients (12 BW, 11 BWBS) completing the intervention.

Patients randomized to the two groups had similar distribution of BMI and poor overall sleep quality at baseline as well as similar employment and socioeconomic status. Age distribution, education and race differed between the groups, with more middle-aged participants in the BW arm (60.9%), a higher percentage of individuals completing more than 12 years of education (60.9% vs. 82.6%) in the BWBS arm and also a higher percentage of African Americans (39.1% vs. 60.9%) in the BWBS group. Importantly, the BWBS group ate significantly fewer added sweets (P=0.009) and added fats (P<0.0001) at baseline.

Table 1. Summary of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial format</th>
<th>BW vs. BWBS</th>
<th>Sleep association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. at start (completed)</td>
<td>46 (23)</td>
<td>Embedded cohort, multicenter 245 (198)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>24 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Percent weight loss</td>
<td>Percent weight loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Sleep counseling</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>Significantly increased weight loss in intervention group at 12 weeks; however, results were nonsignificant when adjusted for sweets and fats intake at baseline</td>
<td>Significantly improved weight loss in group with longer sleep duration and better quality of sleep at 6 months, but results were nonsignificant by 24 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations</td>
<td>Small sample size; length of follow-up</td>
<td>Recruitment methodology; length of follow-up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BW, better weight; BWBS, better weight/better sleep; n/a, not applicable.
The authors found that the BWBS group’s mean percentage of weight loss was significantly more than the BW group (P=0.04). However, when controlling for differences in intake of sweets and fats at baseline, the sample size became too small to show statistical significance (P=0.06). Interestingly, sleep efficiency increased equally in both groups (P=0.52). Limitations of the study were largely sample size, participant completion rate and short study length (12 weeks).9

In the second study, Thomson et al.10 used an embedded cohort in an ongoing randomized control trial. Patients from the general population were recruited to a multicenter, multistate trial through flyers, email, radio and survey of electronic medical records. Participants were randomized to take part in a commercial weight loss program or to receive counseling from a dietician; all were followed for 24 months. Participants were ≥ 18 years old, had BMI 25–40 kg/m² and were at least 15 kg overweight. Patients were excluded based on current pregnancy or intended pregnancy in the subsequent two years after study start, history of eating disorders or food restrictions, inability to perform baseline fitness testing, and any psychiatric illness or “any other condition that, in the investigator’s judgment, would interfere with participation in the trial.”10

This embedded study was an ancillary cohort study that started after initial patient recruitment for the parent randomized control trial. The original randomization was not used; instead, cohorts were built from participants in the parent study who had lost 1 or more kg at 6 months. A total of 245 female patients qualified for the embedded study, with 198 completing the full 24-month follow-up. Cohort outcomes were compared based on: 1) patient-reported hours slept at baseline > 7 or ≤ 7, and 2) poor quality of sleep based on a component sleep score of 0 (good sleep) or ≥ 1 (poor sleep). Groups were adjusted for confounders of age, BMI, race/ethnicity and intervention arm in the parent study. The two groups differed based on age and menopausal status (with longer sleep duration associated with younger, premenopausal participants, consistent with previously established norms). Sleep quality was assessed using the PSQI at 0 and 6 months.10

The authors found a significant difference in ability to obtain weight loss > 10% of baseline weight at 6 months in the “good sleep” group (adjusted risk ratio: 0.67) and in women sleeping > 7 hours/night (adjusted risk ratio: 0.70). This pattern was continued at 12, 18 and 24 months; however, the effect decreased and was nonsignificant at 24 months. Limitations of this study include the nonrepresentative volunteer sample of the original randomized control trial, subsequent lack of clarity on exclusion criteria and its limiting to patients with successful weight loss at 6 months. The study sample also differed in accepted patterns of spectrum of sleep duration, with very few participants in this study sleeping > 9 hours at baseline.

Conclusions
Obesity treatment and prevention is an ongoing challenge for adult patients and their primary care physicians. Initial evidence suggests that improving patient sleep may improve patient weight loss, however, a sustained statistically significant effect has not been shown in current studies. The question of whether PCPs should emphasize sleep in the treatment of obese patients remains unanswered. Further research efforts — including appropriately powered, high-quality randomized control trials with systematic recruitment and attention to specific demographics (e.g. sex, age, and race) — are needed.

Patient-Friendly Recap
• Preventing and treating obesity is an ongoing challenge for patients and their primary care physicians.
• The authors asked whether current evidence indicates improved sleep leads to weight loss in obese patients.
• They found that while improving a patient’s sleep pattern may result in initial weight loss, a sustained effect has not been sufficiently proven by published clinical trials.
• Further research is needed before physicians can be instructed to emphasize sleep when treating obesity.
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