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to original diagnosis. Twelve women who developed a SCSE (cases) were matched to 44 women who did not (controls) at least 5 years after initial diagnosis. Archived tissues were stained for p16INK4a, Ki67 and COX-2 using “multiplex immunohistochemistry,” enabling simultaneous interrogation of expression levels of the three biomarkers in a single tissue section.

Results: Our multiplex analysis revealed that expression levels of Ki-67, p16INK4a or COX-2, either in epithelial cells within the lesion or in stromal cells adjacent to the lesion, either individually or in combination, do not predict the development of a SCSE in women initially diagnosed with ADH/ALH or UDH. However, this analysis identified double- or triple-positive cells in the vicinity of the lesions in some cases and controls.

Conclusion: Expression of Ki-67, p16INK4a and COX-2 is not predictive of a SCSE following initial diagnosis of ADH/ALH or UDH. Further analysis is needed on a larger cohort after longer follow-up after initial diagnosis to confirm our findings and to investigate whether the presence of double- or triple-positive cells (a signature predicted to correlate with poor outcome) is predictive of progression regardless of the expression status of the lesion.
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Background: Percutaneous closure of atrial septal defects has been shown to be a safe alternative to surgery. Despite this, past studies have largely been focused on either small- to medium-sized atrial septal defects or percutaneous closure in children and young adults.

Purpose: Our study sought to examine if right ventricular function and size improved after percutaneous closure of large atrial septal defects in the adult population.

Methods: Over a 5-year span, 25 patients underwent percutaneous closure of a secundum atrial septal defect with an occluder device. A retrospective examination was conducted for each patient, including both echocardiography and chart review for postdevice complications/symptoms.

Results: Average patient age was 44.4 years, and mean device size was 28 mm (range: 24–38 mm). Follow-up echocardiography (mean of 134 days) showed tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion was significantly improved (2.11 vs. 2.33; P=0.013). There was also a significant reduction in right ventricular diastolic chamber size (31.0 vs. 35.4; P<0.01). At 1-year postprocedure follow-up, zero patients had experienced transient ischemic attack, stroke or device perforation/embolization.

Conclusion: Percutaneous closure of large secundum atrial septal defects in adults improves right ventricular function as well as right ventricular chamber size. Percutaneous closure of large atrial septal defects also is a safe, very low-risk procedure in terms of future adverse neurologic, embolic or perforation-related events.
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Background: Since most of the coronary flow occurs in diastole, either mean Pd/Pa or iFR has been used to measure the hemodynamic significance of a coronary stenosis. We have observed that a significant pressure gradient exists in coronary stenosis even in systole, which is contrary to general understanding but similar to ankle brachial index. Furthermore, prior studies have evaluated baseline Pd/Pa (mean coronary artery/mean aorta pressure) ratio as well as iFR (instantaneous wave-free ratio obtained during entire period of diastole) to predict fractional flow reserve (FFR) ≤ 0.80. We hypothesized a simple end-systolic and -diastolic pressure measurement in the coronary artery distal to stenosis may perform adequately to predict FFR, obviating a need to measure Pd/Pa or iFR.

Purpose: We sought to evaluate systolic and diastolic Pd/ Pa, and termed them coronary artery systolic pressure index (CASPI) and coronary artery diastolic pressure index (CADIPI), respectively, against FFR ≤ 0.80.

Methods: After retrospectively identifying 555 moderate stenotic lesions undergoing FFR measurement at a tertiary care center over a 4-year period, we procured original pressure tracings obtained during the cardiac catheterization and manually measured systolic and diastolic pressures in the aorta and in the coronary artery distal to the stenosis, before and after adenosine infusion. Utilizing FFR ≤ 0.80, operating test characteristics of CASPI and CADIPI were measured and compared to those of baseline Pd/Pa.

Results: In the 555 lesions, mean CASPI (0.97 ± 0.04) and CADIPI (0.95 ± 0.08) were similar to baseline Pd/Pa (0.95 ± 0.05). CASPI correlated well with baseline Pd/Pa (Spearman r=0.81; P<0.0001). Similarly, CADIPI was strongly correlated with baseline Pd/Pa (0.86; P<0.0001). The area under the receiver operating curve (AUC) was lower for CASPI and CADIPI, as compared to baseline Pd/Pa (0.80 vs. 0.82 vs. 0.89, respectively), for predicting the FFR ≤ 0.80. For a CASPI < 0.88 there were no false positives with 100% specificity, and for a CADIPI > 1.02, there were no false negatives with 100% sensitivity. Similarly, for a CADIPI < 0.8 there were no false positives with 100% specificity, and for a CADIPI > 1.16 there were no false negatives with 100% sensitivity.