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“Making appointments with yourself and scheduling other things around them is key to proactive self-management.”
– Michael S. Hyatt, author/speaker/former CEO

“We are what we repeatedly do. Excellence, then, is not an act, but a habit.”
– Aristotle

This spring, in volume 5, issue 2 of the Journal of Patient-Centered Research and Reviews (JPCRR), I wrote about the important topic of shared clinical decision-making. An essential component of patient-centered health care, shared decision-making combines clinical evidence and patient preferences to tailor diagnostic testing and treatment plans to each individual. What is the next logical step following patient-engaged decision-making? Patient self-management!

Self-management as presently conceptualized is a relatively new term. In 1996, Gruman and Von Korff defined it as “engaging in activities that protect and promote health, monitoring and managing the symptoms and signs of illness, managing the impact of illness on functioning emotions and interpersonal relationships and adhering to treatment regimens.” A more basic definition, as related in this issue’s article by Nease et al, was offered by Lorig and Holman in 2003: “patients’ efforts to engage in behaviors to manage their chronic illness.” Indeed, self-management programs on the whole have been successful in improving outcomes regarding serious chronic illnesses such as diabetes and hypertension.

Obviously, introducing self-management programs into primary care clinics requires a plan and supportive tools. The editors of JPCRR felt strongly enough about the importance of this topic that we devoted this issue to it, featuring, among others, 5 articles borne of the Improving Networks’ Self-management Tools Through Engaging Patients and Practices (INSTTEPP) trial. Collectively, these works describe the implementation of patient self-management support (SMS) tools in 16 small to medium-sized primary care practices associated with 4 regional research networks, utilizing previously reported boot camp translation methods.

Nease et al analyzed the quantitative outcomes of 297 patients and 89 clinicians and staff in the practices following implementation of SMS. Compared to control patients, the intervention patients experienced significant improvements in self-rated health and on survey items indicative of process of care outcomes. The authors call for a longer and larger trial to investigate other potential improvements and, presumably, to demonstrate the sustainability of improved outcomes.

Fernald and colleagues then describe the results of their qualitative analysis of interviews and observations within the practices implementing the “community-created” SMS tools. Their findings focused on the
critical conditions and factors needed for successful implementation of locally selected and adapted SMS tools in these modest-sized primary care practices. Analysis indicated that 38% of involved practices were able to at least partially implement SMS tools. It appeared that an active clinic champion, a clinic infrastructure supportive of innovation and change, and alignment of SMS changes with existing workflows of the clinic were critical to successful implementation.

In a novel brief report, Daly et al describe an early step in the research process, attempting to streamline institutional review board (IRB) approval of the self-management tool implementation project in multiple geographically dispersed clinics. As an individual who oversees an IRB office, I am sensitive to the fact that some investigators simultaneously hear the word “delay” when hearing the word “IRB”; however, it does not have to be that way. A conscientious and well-prepared IRB submission and a customer-oriented IRB office often results in a pretty efficient process. That said, a widespread project as vast in scope and ambition as INSTTEPP certainly increases the potential for prolonged review periods and regulatory redundancies. Daly et al take us through the process of ceding project oversight to a central IRB, sharing lessons learned along the way. Considering the rising trend of multisite research studies, which, as the authors note, will soon be mandated for many federally funded studies, this brief report should prove a valuable resource for researchers in all health fields.

In their “how to” study, Simpson and colleagues analyzed the process of creating and adapting SMS tools utilizing resources from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality’s SMS library. Their experience was that none of the library resources or tools was suitable for use in implementation of SMS without some degree of modification. In the remaining article from this series, Fagnan and colleagues describe the actual process of using a stepped-wedge trial design to roll out the adapted SMS tools in the various practices using expedited (2 months vs the usual 6 months) boot camp translation methods. Simple metrics and qualitative outcomes suggesting the value of this implementation are reported. Five themes emerged from patients and staff regarding patient needs and desires concerning self-management: shared responsibility, sense of empowerment, respectful communication, patient-centered quality measures, and guidance that is consistent with evidence and patient preferences.

Two additional articles — unrelated to INSTTEPP but squarely centered on patient self-management — grace this issue. Weight management is a significant area in need of improved patient self-management. Bowie and colleagues report the findings of their focus group study of perceived barriers to weight loss among overweight or obese African American women in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Issues of food choices were pervasive. Other primary barriers involved lifestyle changes, social support, locus of control, and health education. Representative quotes in the manuscript indicate that all of these barriers, quite understandably, impact success of weight self-management. A recent qualitative study of weight management in African American type 2 diabetics (of whom 10 of 12 were women) indicated that social support was the “most effective strategy for weight management when problems, stress, and challenges occur.”

Heart failure (HF) is another chronic disease for which patient self-management is deemed important, although a recent meta-analysis reported that no single intervention program characteristic could have an impact on all common clinical endpoints, nor was any program characteristic clearly associated with better outcomes of HF self-management interventions. Following up a previous publication, Grant and Graven add to this theme issue with a mixed-methods study of patient experiences in months 2 and 3 following hospitalization for HF. Managing treatment and HF symptoms were the most common problems identified during this time period. Barriers such as negative emotions/moods, difficulty coping, and inadequate resources also were identified.

Harkening back to the complexity inherent to shared decision-making caused by uncertainty and competing priorities, the authors noted HF patients expressed uncertainty about the future and even “hopeful” uncertainty about their diagnosis. Their findings also suggested that competing, but interrelated, problems like financial insecurity impacted HF self-management. Family-based interventions were suggested for improved self-care. As a longtime family
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As a medicine physician, I know all too well the struggles and frustrations faced by patients and clinicians alike in the quest for sufficient self-management of chronic disease. Perhaps these proposed interventions, along with understanding and addressing barriers,\textsuperscript{14} and implementation of properly selected evidence-based SMS tools\textsuperscript{3,9,10} can improve patient self-management of HF, obesity, and other major illnesses.
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